

Kenneth Kaspar INTRO GAS METAL ARC WELDING (GMAW)

BC Survey - Results 2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S), INTRO GAS METAL ARC

Dear Instructor,

Below are the 2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S) survey results for "INTRO GAS METAL ARC WELDING (GMAW)".

The overall indicator is listed first.

The second part of the analysis lists the average values of all individual questions.

Comments are listed at the report's end.

If you have any further questions, please reach out to a member of PIER.

Best regards,

Robert Wood Assistant Research Analyst Brazosport College 500 College Drive Lake Jackson, TX 77566 (979)-230-3189 robert.wood@brazosport.edu Kenneth Kaspar 2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S) INTRO GAS METAL ARC WELDING (GMAW) (WLDG1430 01231S) No. of responses = 3



Survey Results

3. Level of Agreeance: Instructions for course activities and assignments n=3 av.=2.7 strongly disagree strongly agree were clear. md=350 dev.=1.5 The workload in this course was well distributed n=3 strongly disagree strongly agree av.=3.3 md=3 throughout the term. 50 dev.=0.6 The course was delivered as outlined in the syllabus. n=3 strongly disagree strongly agree av.=3.3 md=3 50 dev.=0.6 Assessments/exams were based on material n=3 strongly disagree strongly agree covered in assignments, readings, lectures, videos, av.=4 md=4 group activities, etc. 50 dev.=1 The instructor made learning relevant to my field of strongly disagree strongly agree av.=4.3 md=5 study, career, or degree. dev.=1.2 The instructor used a variety of instructional n=3 av.=3.3 strongly disagree strongly agree methods (examples: group discussions, student md=3presentations, student activities, lab activities, online dev.=1.5 discussions, video assignments, case studies, etc.) The instructor provided timely feedback on my n=3 strongly disagree strongly agree av.=3.7 assignments. md=350 dev.=1.2 The instructor exhibited professional behavior, n=3 strongly disagree strongly agree av.=4.3 md=5 treated students respectfully, and used appropriate 67% language during class. dev.=1.2

3.9)	The instructor provided grades within the stated timeframe.	ongly disagree	100 50 0	0%	0%	33%	33%	33%	strongly agree	n=3 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1
3.10)	The instructor was accessible to answer questions and explain material outside of class according to office hours.	ongly disagree	50	0%	0%	67%	0%	33%	strongly agree	n=3 av.=3.7 md=3 dev.=1.2
4.	Overall Satisfaction With This Course:									
4.1)	Please rate your overall satisfaction with the learning experience in this course.	ery Dissatisfied	50	0%	33%	33%	33%	0% 5	Very Satisfied	n=3 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1
5.	Course Recommendation:									
5.1)	How likely are you to recommend this course with this instructor to a friend?	Very Unlikely	100 50	0%	33%	0%	67%	0% 5	Very Likely	n=3 av.=3.3 md=4 dev.=1.2
7.	Student Demographics:									
7.1)	How many credits have you completed toward your degree	or cortific	oto?							
,		leted credits	ale!						ີ 100%	n=3
	31 - 60 comp								0%	
	61 - 90 completed credits						0%			
	91 + comp	leted credits							0%	
7.2)	This course is instructed as:									
	a face-to	-face course							100%	n=3
	a hybrid/blended course (a combination of face-to-face and online	e instruction)							0%	
	an online synchronous (students are required to participate online at a s	specific time)							0%	
	an online asynchronous (students view course materials	at any time)							0%	
7.3)	This term I am currently enrolled in:									
	5 or less	credit hours							0%	n=3
	6 - 8	credit hours							0%	
	9 - 11	credit hours							33.3%	
	12 or more	credit hours							66.7%	

7.4) My current major is:									
Bachelor of Applied Technology (BAT)	0% n=3								
Associate of Arts or Multi-Disciplinary Degree (AA)	0%								
Associate of Arts in Teaching (AAT)	0%								
Associate of Science (AS)	0%								
Associate of Applied Science (AAS) in a technical area	0%								
Certificate in a technical area	100%								
		_							
7.5) I am currently a high school student taking college courses.									
Yes	0% n=3								
No	100%								

Comments Report

6. Open Response:

- ^{6.1)} What are the strengths of this course?
- 1. I can confidently weld in this process.
- learning alot of different ways to use TIG welding/ getting better at TIG
- 6.2) What changes would you recommend that would improve this course?
- The educator for this class know how to better identify more consumables at fault when a welder is having issues with their welding process and educate why the welder is having said issues.
- add more course work or only allow a certain amount to be accomplished per week.
- more hands on support
- ^{6.3)} Any further, constructive comments?
- more constructive criticism / watch to see what im doing wrong

01/18/2024 Class Climate Evaluation Page 5